

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademick Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspro.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,477	04/30/2001	Chine-Gie Lou	TS2000499	2319
28112 7	590 04/08/2003			
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
28 DAVIS AV POUGHKEEP			GUERRERO, MARIA F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.3		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
ر		09/845,477	LOU, CHINE-GIE	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
		Maria Guerrero	2822	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply		the correspondence address -	•
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communical  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	<u> 1arch 2003</u> .		
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a con of Claims			s is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application	n.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 13-24 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9) 🗌 🗆	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.		
10) 🔲 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🏻	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) 🔲 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in App	lication No	
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
<b>14</b> )□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure. The translation of the foreign language procedure.	• •		
Attachment	(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>.</u> .
I.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No	o. 10

Application/Control Number: 09/845,477

Art Unit: 2822

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed February 6, 2003 and the Request for continued examination filed March 5, 2003.

Claims 1-12 are canceled.

Claims 13-24 are pending.

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 5, 2003 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pey et al. (U.S. 6,180,501) in view of Bartush (U.S. 5,365,866).

Pey et al. teaches providing a semiconductor substrate having: a gate electrode (a pad oxide layer and a polysilicon layer) with gate spacers, shallow trench isolation

Application/Control Number: 09/845,477

Art Unit: 2822

regions, source and drain regions, and LDD regions (Fig. 1-7, col. 5, lines 1-60). Pey et al. discloses forming an etch stop material (silicon nitride) over the surface of the substrate and patterning the pad oxide layer, the polysilicon layer, and the etch stop material (Fig. 1-2, col. 5, lines 1-15).

Pey et al. teaches forming a salicide layer by depositing a Ti/TiN layer (280 to 350 angstroms) over the surface of the substrate, including the surface of the gate spacers, and performing a first RTP anneal (col. 5, lines 60-67, col. 6, lines 1-10). Pey et al. discloses creating a layer of titanium silicide over the surface of the source and drain regions, and removing the unreacted Ti/TiN layer (col. 6, lines 5-15). Pey et al. shows depositing a layer of dielectric (BPSG) over the surface of the layer of etch stop material, polishing the surface of the layer of dielectric down to the surface of the etch stop material, and removing the layer of etch stop material (col. 6, lines 23-50).

Pey et al. teaches depositing a Ti/TiN layer over the surface of the polished layer of dielectric including the exposed surface of the polysilicon layer and performing a second anneal (col. 7, lines 55-60). Furthermore, Pey et al. teaches creating reacted salicide material over the surface of polysilicon, removing the unreacted material, and performing a third RTP anneal at 850°C for about 10 to 30 seconds (col. 6, lines 5-15, col. 7, lines 55-60).

Pey et al. fails to show using a boronitride layer as the polish stop layer.

However, Bartush shows the use of boronitride layer as a stopping layer is well known in the art (Abstract, col. 2, lines 20-30, 55-67). The polish rate of the filler material being larger than the polish rate of boronitride in order to prevent corrosion is inherent from

Application/Control Number: 09/845,477

Art Unit: 2822

the disclosure because Bartush teaches polish selectivity is a comparison of the removal rate of one material relative to that of another material and the polish stop material is more resistant to the effects of polishing in comparison with the other material in order to avoid damage (col. 1, lines 30-43.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Pey et al.'s process by using boronitride instead of silicon nitride as taught Bartush. The modification would improve efficiency and cost-effectiveness (Bartush, col. 2, lines 15-20).

Regarding the claimed thickness, temperature, and time, a particular parameter must first be recognized as a result-effective variable, i.e., a variable, which achieves a recognized result, before the determination of the optimum or workable ranges of, said variable might be characterized as routine experimentation. In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 1471, 43 USPQ2d 1362, 1366 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stanley Wolf (of record) "Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era" teaches, as well known in the art, rapid thermal processing at 600-800°C to form a silicide layer, selectively removed the unreacted Ti, annealing the titanium silicide at

Art Unit: 2822

temperature of 1000°C. for 30 seconds to reduce the titanium silicide resistivity (page 148).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is 703-305-0162.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-49055. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Maria Guerrero
Patent Examiner
April 7, 2003